IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB Case No. 02-451-A) Lekson et al. Lekson et al. Serial No.: 10/606,694 Filed: June 25, 2003 For: Apparatus and Method for Providing a Modular Vehicle Light Device IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (MBHB Case No. 02-451-A) Group Art Unit: 2875 Examiner: Ton, Anabel Confirmation No.: 5347

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In regard to the above-identified application:

- 1. We are transmitting herewith the attached
 - a. Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85
 - b. Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
 - c. Check in the Amount of \$1,730.00
 - d. Return Receipt Postcard
- 2. Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- 3. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR §1.10: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper described in paragraph 1, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee," addressed to Mail Stop: Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the 14th of April, 2006.

Express Mail Label No.: EV 596645975 US

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: April 14, 2006

By:

Thomas E. Wettermann

Reg. No. 41,523

O V P	W I	
(MBHB Case No. 02-451-A)		
In re Ar	plication of:)
	Lekson et al.) Group Art Unit: 2875
	Lekson et al.) Examiner: Ton, Anabel
Serial N	No.: 10/606,694)
) Confirmation No.: 5347
Filed:	June 25, 2003)
Гаш	Annayative and Mathad for Draviding) Customer No.: 20306
For:	Apparatus and Method for Providing a Modular Vehicle Light Device)
	Modulal Vehicle Light Device	1
Mail Ston Issue Fee		

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed January 17, 2006, the Applicants express appreciation for the allowance of the present application. The Applicants note the Examiner's reasons for allowance, but further comment that the art of record, along and in combination, fails to show, teach or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims of the present invention.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims. In light of the original disclosure, previous Office Actions and responses, however, the Applicants believe that the record as a whole does not make the reasons for allowance clear. Moreover, the Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. The Applicants believe that the Statements of

Reasons for Allowance in this case are improper as it merely copies limitations of the claims into the reasons for allowance. While the Applicants believe that the Statements of Reasons for Allowance in this case are improper as it merely copies limitations of the claims into the reasons for allowance. While the Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, the Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in the features, as explicitly set forth in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

Date: April 14, 2006

By:

Thomas E. Wettermann

Reg. No. 41,523